UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

117

Х

:

:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, :

Plaintiff,

SALVADOR CIENFUEGOS ZEPEDA, :

-against-

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

23

24

**APPEARANCES:** 

November 18, 2020

United States Courthouse

Brooklyn, New York

1

Defendant. : 10:00 o'clock a.m.

19-CR-366(CBA)

TRANSCRIPT OF STATUS CONFERENCE BEFORE THE HONORABLE CAROL BAGLEY AMON UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE.

For the Government: SETH D. DuCHARME Acting United States Attorney ALLEN L. BODE Assistant United States Attorney Eastern District of New York 271 Cadman Plaza East Brooklyn, New York

For the Defendant: SAPONE & PETRILLO, LLP 40 Fulton Street 23rd Floor New York, NY 10038
BY: EDWARD V. SAPONE, ESQ.
Court Reporter: Charleane M. Heading

225 Cadman Plaza East Brooklyn, New York (718) 613-2643

Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography, transcript produced by computer-aided transcription.

CMH OCR RMR CRR FCRR

Good morning. This is criminal cause 1 THE CLERK: 2 for a status conference, 19-CR-366, USA versus Salvador 3 Cienfuegos Zepeda. 4 May the parties please state your name for the record. 5 MR. DuCHARME: For the United States, Seth DuCharme, 6 7 Your Honor. I'm joined by Allen Bode at counsel table. 8 MR. BODE: Good morning, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Good morning. 10 MR. SAPONE: Good morning, Your Honor. Edward Sapone, Sapone & Petrillo, for defendant Salvador Cienfuegos 11 12 Zepeda who is present with counsel in court. 13 THE COURT: All right. Good morning. 14 All right. Everyone can be seated. 15 Mr. DuCharme, the government has an application, I 16 understand, this morning. Do you want to be heard on that 17 application? 18 MR. DuCHARME: Yes, briefly, Your Honor. As set forth in our papers, I think as an initial 19 20 matter, you know, the Office stands behind the case. There is 21 no concern that I have with the strength of our case. Here, 22 there was a balancing, frankly, of interests between the 23 Department's interests in pursuing this particular prosecution 24 against the interest of the United States in foreign relations 25 and, in particular, with the United States' relationship with

Mexico and cooperative law enforcement efforts that go, that touch upon this case to the extent that they relate to narcotics trafficking and public corruption, but the United States determined that the broader interests in maintaining that relationship in a cooperative way outweighed the Department's interest and public interest in pursuing this particular case.

8 THE COURT: And I understand, Mr. DuCharme, that 9 this application is being made at the highest level of the 10 Justice Department, that this was a decision made by the 11 Attorney General of the United States, is that correct?

12 MR. DuCHARME: That's correct, Your Honor, and the 13 Attorney General, as you may be aware, you know, issued a 14 statement I think last night setting forth the position of the 15 United States and coordination with the government of Mexico.

16 THE COURT: Yes. My courtroom deputy was provided17 with a copy of that press release.

18 It was not clear to me from the government's letter 19 when you referred to the fact that there were officials who 20 had made that determination, that it was the Attorney General 21 himself, but that has been clarified today in court.

Now, I take it that the defendant, although his
 consent is not required, does not oppose this application?
 MR. SAPONE: Your Honor, Edward Sapone speaking.
 So when we had appeared for arraignment before

Magistrate Judge Gold, Mr. Cienfuegos Zepeda entered a plea of
 not guilty to each charge contained in the indictment. We
 have received a copy of the government's application and
 certainly consent to that application.

5 THE COURT: Now, I understand though that the 6 application is contingent on the defendant signing this 7 removal agreement, is that correct?

8 MR. DuCHARME: That's correct, Your Honor, and he 9 has signed the agreement. We have an executed copy here.

THE COURT: Yes.

10

MR. DuCHARME: And that's really, I think, the mutual interest of the parties, and should Your Honor grant the motion, an expeditious and efficient execution of our joint plan which is essentially to return the defendant to Mexico to be held accountable under Mexican law as soon as practicable.

17 THE COURT: Well, the removal agreement indicates 18 that it has been filed under seal, but it has not been filed 19 at all and it was just presented to the Court this morning and 20 there is no reason that once it is filed, that this document 21 should be under seal.

22 MR. DuCHARME: We respect Your Honor's 23 determination, Judge. You know, we tried to be sensitive to 24 the interests, I think, of the defendant as well as the 25 United States' interest in facilitating the smooth execution

4

of this intended course of action. So, you know, we have
 asserted, as you know, Judge, a basis to seal on that basis
 but obviously, you know, defer to the Court on your findings.

THE COURT: Well, but in the letter, you say it is
only necessary to have it sealed until the status conference.
So at this point, you are not seeking to have it sealed any
longer, correct?

8 MR. DuCHARME: With respect to the applications,9 that's right, Judge.

With respect to the removal agreement, it's my
understanding that the defendant had asserted some interest in
having portions of that at least remain sealed with respect to
the removal agreement only, Your Honor. The government
certainly doesn't object to that.

15 THE COURT: I am looking at paragraph 12: Both the 16 government and defendant agree and respectfully request that 17 this agreement be kept under seal until the status conference 18 scheduled in the above captioned case.

There is nothing in here to suggest that any part ofit should be otherwise sealed.

21

MR. DuCHARME: No, I --

THE COURT: Not in the application itself. I thinkwe should resolve this now.

24MR. DuCHARME: Okay. Understood, Your Honor.25THE COURT: So, this removal agreement, do you have

CMH OCR RMR CRR FCRR

tod

6

1 the original?

2 MR. DuCHARME: I do, Your Honor, executed.

3 THE COURT: Okay.

4 MR. DuCHARME: May I approach?

THE COURT: Yes.

6 Mr. Sapone, did you want to be heard on this matter?
7 I take it you have executed this and your client has
8 executed this?

9 MR. SAPONE: Your Honor, I can confirm that we have 10 gone through the removal agreement with Mr. Cienfuegos Zepeda 11 in English and I interpreted it into Spanish. I also had it 12 translated into Spanish so the entirety of the document is in 13 Spanish. This morning in the Marshal's pens, we had visited 14 with Mr. Zepeda and gone through it word by word, line by 15 He understands it. When he came into court, he signed line. 16 it and so we're in full agreement with it.

17 THE COURT: So this removal agreement is, of course,
18 contingent on the Court granting the application to dismiss
19 the indictment without prejudice, correct?

20

5

MR. SAPONE: That's correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Before I take up that issue, let me just ensure that the defendant understands this removal agreement.

First of all, I will delete that it is filed under 25 seal. Under the terms of the agreement itself, it should not Case 1:19-cr-00366-CBA-SJB Document 27 Filed 01/28/21 Page 7 of 11 PageID #:

7 be filed under seal after today's proceeding. 1 2 So I have a series of questions to ask the defendant 3 and I would ask that he rise and my courtroom deputy 4 administer an oath for him to tell the truth. 5 Mr. Cienfuegos, I have before me a document referred to as a removal agreement. 6 7 Was that agreement translated to you? 8 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Now, I want you to understand that your 10 agreement, this removal agreement, only comes into effect if, 11 in fact, I grant the government's application. 12 You understand that, correct? 13 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am. 14 THE COURT: But if I grant the government's application, then you will be bound by the terms of this 15 16 removal agreement. Is that clear to you? 17 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am. 18 THE COURT: All right. And this agreement, you indicated, was, in fact, translated to you, correct? 19 20 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am. 21 THE COURT: Before signing it, did you have an 22 adequate opportunity to discuss the provisions of this 23 agreement with your counsel? 24 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I did so with the attorney. 25 THE COURT: All right. Are you satisfied to have

1 him represent you in this proceeding? 2 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am, I'm satisfied. 3 THE COURT: Now, it indicates that the government and the defendant have agreed that the defendant will 4 voluntarily depart the United States expeditiously in the 5 custody of the United States Marshal. 6 7 Do you agree to that? 8 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am. 9 THE COURT: You also agree to help the Marshals in 10 the removal by providing to them all of the documents that 11 would be necessary to return you in their custody to Mexico. 12 Do you understand that? 13 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do understand, yes. 14 THE COURT: That you agreed to waive any rights that 15 you may have? 16 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I'm in agreement. 17 THE COURT: Well, let me just go over those rights 18 for a moment. They include the right to seek relief from 19 removal or to seek relief from deportation or exclusion under 20 the Immigration and Nationality Act. 21 All right. Let me just ask you, I meant to have my 22 courtroom deputy swear you in, so let me have her swear you in 23 and I will ask if those further questions were under oath. 24 Do you want to swear him in, please? 25 THE CLERK: Raise your right hand, please.

CMH OCR RMR CRR FCRR

(The defendant is duly sworn by the clerk of 1 2 Court under penalties of perjury.) 3 THE CLERK: Thank you. 4 THE COURT: All right. I'm sorry. The questions that I previously asked you, were your responses true and 5 6 accurate to those questions? 7 THE DEFENDANT: It is so, yes, correct. 8 THE COURT: So do you understand that you are giving 9 up your right to contest your removal from this country on any 10 grounds including asylum, withholding of removal or any claim 11 under the Convention Against Torture Act, do you understand 12 that? 13 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am. 14 THE COURT: And do you agree that you have not been persecuted and have no present fear of persecution in Mexico 15 16 at the present time? 17 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do agree. 18 THE COURT: All right. Is there any further inquiry 19 that the government thinks I should make of the defendant in 20 order to accept his agreement to be removed? 21 MR. DuCHARME: No, Your Honor. 22 THE COURT: Mr. Sapone, is there anything else you 23 think that I should further ask your client? 24 MR. SAPONE: No, Your Honor has covered it. 25 THE COURT: All right. You may be seated.

Let me now issue a ruling on the government's 1 2 request to dismiss the indictment without prejudice. 3 Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal 4 Procedure provides that the government may, with leave of the 5 court, dismiss an indictment. The government seeks such leave 6 in this case and the defendant does not oppose it. 7 The scope of judicial review of such an application 8 is quite limited. The Second Circuit has noted that such 9 motions should be granted unless clearly contrary to manifest 10 public interest. 11 As I understand it, this decision to seek dismissal 12 was made at the highest level of government, at the Justice 13 Department, that of the Attorney General of the United States. The stated reason for doing so is to permit Mexico to 14 15 investigate and prosecute the defendant. This was done "in 16 recognition of the strong law enforcement partnership between 17 Mexico and the United States and in the interest of 18 demonstrating a united front against all forms of 19 criminality."

Although these are very serious charges against a very significant figure, and the old adage "a bird in the hand" comes to mind, still I have no reason to doubt the sincerity of the government's position the decision here is being made, to quote their letter, as a matter of foreign policy and no reason to doubt the government's determination

CMH OCR RMR CRR FCRR

10

Filed 01/28/21 Page 11 of 11 PageID #:

Case 1:19-cr-00366-CBA-SJB Document 27

1	that the Mexican prosecuting authorities sincerely wish to
2	pursue an investigation and possible prosecution of this
3	defendant.
4	There is no suggestion that this application is
5	being made in bad faith or that accepting the government's
6	reasoning that it is against the public interest so I will,
7	therefore, grant the application.
8	Is there anything further, Mr. DuCharme?
9	MR. DuCHARME: No, Your Honor. Thank you very much.
10	MR. BODE: Just one thing, Your Honor. I'll file a
11	letter with the Court when it has actually happened.
12	THE COURT: I think the order provides for that.
13	MR. BODE: Thank you.
14	MR. DuCHARME: It does, Your Honor. Thank you.
15	THE COURT: Mr. Sapone, is there anything further we
16	need to address?
17	MR. SAPONE: No. Thank you, Your Honor.
18	THE COURT: All right.
19	(Matter concluded.)
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	